

**Minutes of the Parish Council Planning Meeting held on Thursday 26<sup>th</sup> June 2014 in the Pavilion at 8.00pm**

**Present:-** Cllrs Cole (Chairman), Clarke, Pearce, Phillips, Salter, Tillotson, Ranger and Cook.

**In attendance:-** Cllr Potter (DC) and D G Atkins (Clerk).

**Apologies:-** Cllrs Slattery, D Jeffery and H Jeffery.

The Clerk read from a prepared statement from Cllr Slattery: -

“Although precedent has been set in this parish; which means you can talk and vote for or against planning applications which are on land contiguous to your own with no comeback. I want to abide by the code of conduct and believe that I have a pecuniary interest in the Down Close planning application. As this means I can’t discuss or vote on this application I shall not attend the meeting”.

Footnote:- Cllr Slattery stated that “he had not communicated with any other Councillors regarding this application and don’t intend to”.

**10/13/75      Declarations of Interest** – Cllr Slattery as above.

Cllr Salter re. Downs Close application under the SHLAA sites previously (Personal Interest). Cllr Tillotson in respect of Down Close as she lives opposite to the site and therefore has a personal interest.

**10/13/76**      Minutes of the meeting held on Monday 10<sup>th</sup> May 2014, previously tabled to Council 30<sup>th</sup> May for those present. To be approved and signed.

Proposed	Cllr	Phillips	
Seconded	Cllr	Cole	Vote 6-2 abstentions (not present).

**10/13/77      Reports**

A)      Chairman’s

1.      Police – no report.

B)      Clerk

1.      Finance receipts and payments – not completed in time.  
2.      Harpford Hall update.

Clerk and Cllr Ranger

The Clerk advised that in respect of the Community Asset position, the Diocese had until the 7<sup>th</sup> July to object. Noted.

Cllr Ranger informed the meeting of letters that she had received from the Diocese and the back history, she also referred to the Tipton St John Church Hall purchased some years ago by the community for community use. She pointed out that the Harpford residents are still wanting to retain the Harpford Hall for community use

and that they are planning a bid to purchase. Members noted the current position and the Chairman wished them well in their endeavours stating that the Council would support their efforts.

Communications received East Devon District Council – Noted none.

## 10/13/78 **Planning Applications Received**

A) 14/1235/LBC The Garden, High Street

Proposal:- Re-rendering of east, south and west elevations using lime render.

Observations:- Fully support, correct material to use.

Proposed	Cllr	Cole	
Seconded	Cllr	Salter	Unan.

B) 14/1421/LBC Parsons Farm, Exeter Road

Proposal:- Removal of existing sand and cement rendering and application of lime based render with float and whitewash finish.

Observations:- Fully support.

Proposed	Cllr	Phillips	
Seconded	Cllr	Clarke	Unan.

C) 14/0701/FUL Northmostown Farm,  
Northmostown

Previous Proposal:- Conversion of existing barn and piggery into two dwellings.

(Note the Chairman brought this application forward as it had previously been considered and this was a minor amendment to the roof. The Council had previously not supported the proposal as it was in the flood plain, therefore no material change to this proposal had been presented.

Proposed	Cllr Phillips	
Seconded	Cllr Ranger	Unan.

D) 14/1373/LBC The Dray & Courtyard Cottage,  
Venn Ottery Barton,  
Venn Ottery

Proposal:- Internal and external alterations.

Observations:- It was noted that it was previously two properties albeit part barn noted that vaulted ceiling existed. After careful consideration Members supported the proposal.

Proposed	Cllr Cole	
Seconded	Cllr Cook	Unn

E) 14/1303/MFUL

Land at Down Close,  
Exmouth Road

Proposal:- Construction of 15 dwellings (10 open market, 5 affordable with associated access.

Observations:- It was noted that the proposal consisted of 10 split level properties, some two storey high and five had dormer windows. Letter of objection were noted from 5 residents and they were given the opportunity to address their concerns to the meeting. It was agreed that the Council could not support the proposal for 5 reasons:-

1. AONB covers the area.
2. Overdevelopment causing overlooking of bungalow properties below.
3. KAW approved site covers the number of properties allocated to the village.

The Meeting Closed